

2024 (G24) Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program BLM - Barstow Field Office's Factual Findings

The information provided below is the OHMVR Division Factual Findings for this Applicant. The information provided reflects the OHMVR Division's review and determination of the Applicant's final Application.

For information regarding the appeal process, see Section 4970.17 of the [Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program regulations webpage](https://ohv.parks.ca.gov/?page_id=23747)
https://ohv.parks.ca.gov/?page_id=23747

General Evaluation Criteria:

- #1d – OHV Opportunity Ratio. Add 2 points.
- #5 – The Applicant has been out of Good Standing with the Division in the last two calendar years. Deduct 10 points.
- #6 – The Applicant has been responsive. Add 3 points.
- #7c – The narrative does not support the selection of "Education programs, maps...". The Applicant stated, "There are no educational programs that educate the public on OHV trespass, including respect for private property". Deduct 2 points.

Ground Operations: G24-01-04-G01

Project Description – Background

- No change.

Project Description – Project Description

- No change.

Project Description – List of Project Deliverables

- No change.

Project Description – All Others

- No change.

Project Cost Estimate

- Contracts #1 "Vault Toilet Pumping" – The Applicant increased the match request for this line item without receiving a Division or public comment. The line item

reverts to the original preliminary Application match request of \$0. Deduct \$3,000 from match. The revised total for this line item is now Grant \$20,840 and match \$0.

- Equipment Use Expenses #5–8 – Contingent line item: The Applicant must describe in each of the note sections how each piece of Equipment will be used on the Project. In addition, The Applicant increased the match request for these combined line items without receiving a Division or public comment. Line items revert to the original preliminary Application match request of \$31,012 combined. Deduct \$79 from match. The revised total of these combined line items is now Grant \$0 and match \$31,012.
- Indirect Costs #1 "Indirect Costs – 15% of Grant Request" – The Applicant has now exceeded the 15% maximum allowable for Indirect. Deduct \$245 indirect match. The revised total for this line item is now Grant \$0 and match \$144,353.
- Staff #1 "Visitor Services Staff" – The Applicant does not meet the minimum 26% match requirement for the Project. Move \$1,630 from Grant to match. The revised total for this line item is now Grant \$89,981 and match \$1,630.

Revised Totals:

Grant Request: \$962,362

Match: \$338,132

Total Project Cost: \$1,300,494

Evaluation Criteria

- #3 – The Project Description and/or deliverables sections does not support the selection of "Maintaining trail or road tread for single vehicle use". The Project does not include maintenance of trails for single vehicle use. Deduct 1 point.
- #8 – The Background and/or Project Description sections only support the selection of "Camping". The Applicant did not clearly state if these other non-motorized recreational opportunity are accessed by motorized use within the Project Area where trail maintenance is being performed. Deduct 4 points.

Restoration: G24-01-04-R01

Project Description – Background

- No change.

Project Description – Project Description

- No change.

Project Description – List of Project Deliverables

- No change.

Project Description – All Others

- No change.

Project Cost Estimate

- No change.

Evaluation Criteria

- #2 – The narrative does not support the selection of "archeological and historic resources". Applicant did not identify at least one archeological and/or historical resource identified within either the California Register of Historical Resources or the National Register of Historic Places. In addition, Applicant did not identify the number (e.g., 1, 2, 3) of "Sensitive areas", "T&E listed species", and "Other special-status species" in the designated section within the OLGA Application to be eligible for these selections. Deduct 3 points.
- #8 – The narrative does not support the selection. Each partner must actively participate in completing the Project. Each partner is working on their own individual projects that are not specifically related to this Project. Deduct 4 points.
- #10 – The narrative does not support the selection. The "underlying problem that resulted in the need for the Restoration Project" has not been resolved, as illegal access is ongoing. Deduct 3 points.
- #11 – The Project Description section does not support "1 – 10 acres within the Project Area" of sensitive habitats that will be actively restored within the Project Area. Monitoring and installing signs and barriers are not considered active Restoration activities, and it is unclear if any active Restoration techniques will be necessary until the G23 Restoration plan is completed. Deduct 3 points.
- #12 – The narrative does not support selection. The Applicant did not provide information to support the current legal/illegal OHV Recreation occurring in the Project Area. Deduct 20 points.

Education and Safety: G24-01-04-S01

Project Description – Background

- No change.

Project Description – Project Description

- No change.

Project Description – List of Project Deliverables

- No change.

Project Description – All Others

- No change.

Project Cost Estimate

- No change.

Evaluation Criteria

- #2 – The Applicant has been out of Good Standing with the Division within the last two complete calendar years prior to the current Grant cycle. Deduct 10 points.
- #3 – The Applicant has been responsive. Add 3 points.
- #15 – The narrative does not support the selection. The Applicant did not confirm data for OHV search and rescue operations and providing medical aid to OHV operators occurred during the prior calendar year. Deduct 3 points.

Law Enforcement: G24-01-04-L01**Needs Assessment**

- No change.

Project Certification

- No change.

Project Cost Estimate

- No change.